



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF MIDWIFERY

Supplementary file

© 2026 Barceló-Monserrat B. et al.

DOI:

10.18332/ejm/226443

The content has been provided by the author(s) and has not been reviewed, verified, or endorsed by European Publishing. It may not have undergone peer review. The views, opinions, and recommendations expressed are solely those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the position of European Publishing. European Publishing accepts no responsibility or liability for any consequences arising from the use of, or reliance on, this content.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
– Expectant management following PROM at HCIN and HCMN between January 2017 and December 31, 2019. – Maternal consent to undergo expectant management. – Maternal age > 18 years. – Singleton pregnancy between 37 and 42 weeks of gestation. – PROM without the onset of labour contractions. – Confirmed PROM with clear amniotic fluid; low-risk pregnant women with no previous conditions or with pre-existing and/or gestational conditions that remained well controlled during pregnancy and did not require immediate intervention. – Evidence of fetal well-being throughout the antenatal and intrapartum periods.	– Women admitted in active labour, those undergoing labour inductions, or those managed actively following rupture of membranes. – Gestation < 37 weeks or > 42 weeks. – Non-cephalic fetal presentation. – Intrauterine fetal death diagnosed at the time of the admission. – Evidence of infection upon admission (temperature > 100.04 °F and two or more of the following clinical chorioamnionitis criteria: uterine irritability, foul-smelling leucorrhoea, maternal tachycardia > 100 bmp, fetal tachycardia > 160 bmp, leucocytosis), presence of meconium-stained amniotic fluid, Group B Streptococcus colonization, or suspected risk of fetal compromise.

Table 2. Maternal and neonatal variable under study

Maternal variables	Neonatal variables
✓ Sociodemographic data ✓ Duration of expectant management following PROM ✓ Spontaneous onset of labour ✓ Hours with ruptured membranes ✓ Use of epidural analgesia ✓ Intrapartum fever ✓ Clinical chorioamnionitis ✓ Premature placental abruption ✓ Postpartum haemorrhage ✓ Maternal readmission within 10 days postpartum	✗ Admission to neonatal care unit ✗ 5.minute Apgar score ✗ Umbilical cord blood pH ✗ Neonatal sepsis ✗ Perinatal death ✗ Neonatal readmission within 10 days of birth ✗ Postnatal complications

Table 3. Mode of delivery according to whether labour was spontaneous or induced

Spontaneous onset of labour after PROM	Mode of Delivery				
	Spontaneous vaginal delivery	Vacuum- assisted vaginal delivery	Forceps- assisted vaginal delivery	Caesarean section	Water birth
No	58,80% (n= 107)	12,60% (n= 23)	11,50% (n= 21)	17,00% (n=31)	0,00% (n= 0)
Yes	75,20% (n= 200)	8,60% (n=23)	3,80% (n=10)	6,40% (n=17)	6,00% (n=16)

Table 4. Duration of ruptured membranes in relation to 5-minute Apgar score and umbilical cord pH

Hours of Ruptured Membranes		Percentiles						
		5	10	25	50	75	90	95
Apgar Test (minutes)	7	49,00	49,00	50,00	56,50	62,25		
	8	8,00	10,40	23,50	40,50	59,50	70,80	
	9	8,10	10,10	18,25	38,00	62,75	76,00	102,05
	10	5,00	8,00	13,00	22,00	43,00	59,00	66,40
a. Duration of ruptured membranes is constant when Test Apgar 2 = 3. It has been omitted. (72h)								
b. Duration of ruptured membranes is constant when Test Apgar 2 = 3. It has been omitted. (50h)								
c. Duration of ruptured membranes is constant when Test Apgar 2 = 3. It has been omitted. (88h)								