

Respectful midwifery care during the COVID-19 pandemic

Victoria G. Vivilaki¹, Eleni Asimaki¹

The COVID-19 pandemic is affecting all areas of perinatal care, and midwives are facing enormous challenges. The International Council of Midwives (ICM) recently expressed concerns regarding the violation of the human rights of women, neonates and midwives, with increasing cases of caesarean sections, not initiating breastfeeding and isolating mothers from their birth partners and newborns¹.

Misconceptions among healthcare professionals lead to unnecessary interventions in childbirth² and possible institutional stigma². Even though COVID-19 *per se* is not a contraindication for a vaginal birth, women with COVID-19 give birth by caesarean section³ possibly due to different perceptions and fear of complications and transmission. Also, women with COVID-19 might tend to get less involved in decision making in childbirth, while their concerns and possible fear of birth might make them request a caesarean section themselves. The healthcare providers' fear of the unknown not only fuels stigma but also emphasises the concept of risk management and categorisation, as an attempt to minimise the uncertainty and shape a more predictable future⁴. Therefore, within this context, health professionals resort to medicalised deliveries, based on the belief that in this way they have more control over the birth process⁵. In fact, any intervention in childbirth – in terms of defensive medicine – generates a cascade of interventions, interrupts the physiological labour process and creates a higher risk for maternal and neonatal adverse outcomes⁶. Within today's blame-culture, the mother-to-be also feels accountable for her baby's health and is more willing to undergo further monitoring and interventions⁷. Moreover, it has been advocated that maternal choice is influenced by sociocultural factors and the obstetric discourse that is dominant at a specific time⁸.

All the above could, at least partly, explain the way pregnant women are managed (with suspected/diagnosed COVID-19 infection or even healthy during the current crisis). We should recognise that pregnant and labouring women form a vulnerable, but not homogenous, group with fundamental human rights to dignity and respectful, individualised midwifery care, which safeguards both the physical and mental health of the mother and baby dyad. Even if there is a need for further monitoring and interventions, it is essential to provide woman-centred care, establish good communication with mothers and offer emotional support and stress management⁹.

In these challenging times, pregnant women and mothers should not feel less safe and discouraged from making decisions for themselves and their babies. Dissemination of evidence-based information, adherence to the official clinical guidelines and recommendations, education and skills training of healthcare providers should all be promoted at a professional, organisational and governmental level. Especially under these circumstances, the role of the midwife is more recognised as an advocate of natural birth for women¹⁰, and a key professional in understanding healthcare of women with COVID-19 and all its complexities, in order to provide a theoretical evaluation of the 'medicalised terminology' and the underpinning philosophy.

REFERENCES

1. International Confederation of Midwives. Women's Rights in Childbirth Must be Upheld During the Coronavirus Pandemic. https://www.internationalmidwives.org/assets/files/news-files/2020/03/icm-statement_upholding-womens-rights-during-covid19-5e83ae2ebfe59.pdf. Published March 29, 2020. Accessed April 2, 2020.
2. Dahlen HG. The politicisation of risk. *Midwifery*. 2016;38:6-8. doi:10.1016/j.midw.2016.05.011
3. Luo Y, Yin K. Management of pregnant women infected with COVID-19. *Lancet*. 2020;399(20):30191-30192. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(20)30191-2

AFFILIATION

¹ Midwifery Department, University of West Attica, Athens, Greece

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Victoria G. Vivilaki. Midwifery Department, University of West Attica, Athens, Greece. E-mail: v.vivilaki@gmail.com

KEYWORDS

COVID-19, pregnancy, breastfeeding, stigma, philosophy, medicalization

Received: 3 April 2020

Accepted: 4 April 2020

4. Scamell M. Childbirth Within the Risk Society. *Social Compass*. 2014;8(7):917-928. doi:10.1111/soc4.12077
5. O'Driscoll K, Stronge JM, Minogue M. Active management of labour. *BMJ*. 1973;3(5872):135-137. doi:10.1136/bmj.3.5872.135
6. Lothian J. Healthy birth practice #4: Avoid interventions unless they are medically necessary. *J Perinat Educ*. 2014;23(4):198-206. doi:10.1891/1058-1243.23.4.198
7. Lupton D. *Risk (Key ideas)*. London, United Kingdom: Taylor & Francis; 1999.
8. Hallgrimsdottir H, Shumka L, Althaus C, Benoit C. Fear, Risk, and the Responsible Choice: Risk Narratives and Lowering the Rate of Caesarean Sections in High-income Countries. *AIMS Public Heal*. 2017;4(6):615-632. doi:10.3934/publichealth.2017.6.615
9. Behruzi R, Hatem M, Goulet L, Fraser W, Leduc N, Misago C. Humanized birth in high risk pregnancy: Barriers and facilitating factors. *Med Heal Care Philos*. 2010;13(1):49-58. doi:10.1007/s11019-009-9220-0
10. Hanahoe M. Midwifery-led care can lower caesarean section rates according to the Robson ten group classification system. *Eur J Midwifery*. 2020;4(March):1-5. doi:10.18332/ejm/119164

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

There was no source of funding for this research.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.